

Item: 1 of 22 | [Return to headlines](#) | [Next](#) | [Last](#)[MSN-Support](#) | [Help](#)Select alternative format: [BibTeX](#) | [ASCII](#)**MR2185482 (Review)****Káta**, Imre (H-EOTVO-AG)**On the number of prime divisors of the iterates of the Carmichael function. (English summary)***Math. Pannon.* **16** (2005), *no. 2*, 205–209.[11N60](#)

Journal

Article

Doc  
Delivery**References: 0****Reference Citations: 0****Review Citations: 0**

Let  $\omega(n)$  be the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$ , and let  $\lambda_k(n)$  be the  $k$ -fold iterate of the well-known Carmichael  $\lambda$  function. With the help of the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem and two other prime related lemmas the author proves the following result:

Let  $k \geq 1$  be a fixed integer; then the quantity  $\mu_k(n)$ , defined as

$$\mu_k(n) := \frac{\omega(\lambda_k(n)) - \frac{(\log \log n)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}}{\frac{(\log \log n)^{k+1/2}}{k! \sqrt{2k+1}}},$$

is normally distributed, with the mean  $\mu = 0$  and the variance  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . A similar theorem is then proved for the prime function  $\mu_k(p+a)$ .

Both these results are Carmichael  $\lambda$  function analogs of results proved by N. L. Bassily, the author and M. Wijsmuller [J. Number Theory **65** (1997), no. 2, 226–239; [MR1462839 \(2000c:11159\)](#)] for the  $k$ -fold iterations of Euler's  $\varphi$  function. In fact, as is the case in many related situations, the proof of the above theorem is obtained by showing that—"on average"—the difference between  $\omega(\lambda_k(n))$  and  $\omega(\varphi_k(n))$  is negligible.

**Reviewed** by [Filip Saidak](#)

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 2006